

MINUTES

Tax Expenditure Committee

Friday, December 3, 2021

Serving the Iowa Legislature

MEMBERS PRESENT

Senator Dan Dawson, Co-chairperson Senator Joe Bolkcom Senator Tim Goodwin Senator Pam Jochum Senator Roby Smith Representative Lee Hein, Co-chairperson Representative Jane Bloomingdale Representative Charles Isenhart Representative Dave Jacoby Representative Dustin Hite

LSA CONTACTS: Organizational staffing provided by: Joe McEniry, Senior Legal Counsel, 515.281.3189; Minutes prepared by: Michael Duster, Senior Legal Counsel, 515.281.4800

CONTENTS

- I. Procedural Business
- II. Charge and Introductory Comments from Co-chairpersons
- III. State Chief Economist
- IV. High Quality Jobs Program
- V. Beginning Farmer Tax Credit
- VI. Charitable Conservation Contribution Tax Credit
- VII. New Jobs Tax Credit
- **VIII. Administrative Tax Credits**
 - A. Fuel Tax Credit
 - B. Assistive Device Tax Credit
 - C. Claim of Right Tax Credit
 - D. S Corporation Apportionment Tax Credit
 - E. Alternative Minimum Tax Credit
- IX. Property Tax Credits
 - A. Homestead Property Tax Credit
 - B. Disabled Veteran Homestead Property Tax Credit
 - C. Elderly and Disabled Property Tax Credit
 - D. Military Service Property Tax Exemption
 - E. Agricultural Land Property Tax Credits
 - F. Business Property Tax Credits
 - G. Commercial and Industrial Property Replacement Claims



- X. Franchise Tax Credit
- XI. Earned Income Tax Credit
- XII. Research Activities Tax Credit
- XIII. Committee Discussion
- XIV. Materials Filed with the Legislative Services Agency

I. Procedural Business

Call to Order. The Tax Expenditure Committee (committee) was called to order at 1:36 p.m. on Friday, December 3, 2021, in Room 103 (Supreme Court Chamber) of the State Capitol.

Adjournment. Upon conclusion of the presentations and discussion by the committee, the meeting was adjourned at 4:40 p.m.

II. Charge and Introductory Comments from Co-chairpersons

Charge. In 2010, the Legislative Tax Expenditure Committee was established under lowa Code §§2.45(5) and 2.48. The committee is required to conduct regular reviews of tax credit, withholding credit, and revenue division programs. The committee may review any tax expenditure at any time but is required to review specific tax expenditures during specified years. However, due to the pandemic, the committee did not meet during the 2020 calendar year and did not review the tax credits scheduled to be reviewed. Therefore, the committee reviewed the tax credits scheduled to be reviewed in both 2020 and 2021.

Introductory Comments. Senator Dan Dawson and Representative Lee Hein served as co-chairpersons of the committee and each co-chairperson made brief introductory comments regarding the committee's role of learning and gathering information about tax credits, thanking the Department of Revenue for their role in reviewing tax credits, and the possibility of addressing tax credit issues raised during the legislative session.

III. State Chief Economist

Dr. Robin Anderson, State Chief Economist, Department of Revenue (DOR), introduced herself to the committee and spoke broadly about the tax credit analysis program within the DOR. Dr. Anderson emphasized that the studies conducted by the department are objective and are not intended to be value judgments of the tax credits. Dr. Anderson also stated that the Pew Charitable Trusts concluded the state of lowa excels at rigorously measuring the economic and fiscal impact of tax incentive programs.

IV. High Quality Jobs Program

Ms. Debi Durham, Director, Economic Development Authority, and Dr. Zhong Jin, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, DOR, each made presentations on the High Quality Jobs Program (HQJP). Director Durham described the storyline of the HQJP and presented the committee with an overview of the goals and criteria for the program, including priority for projects with significant local economic impact, prohibition on retail businesses, and businesses with a history of law violations, employee benefit requirements, and criteria for project evaluation. Director Durham provided historical data on the amount of capital investments made and direct and indirect jobs created. From January 2011 through June 2021, total capital investments by eligible businesses under the HQJP totaled \$18,537,146,692 which resulted in 75,017 direct and indirect jobs in lowa. The presentation materials provided by Director Durham included a schedule of maximum awards, a representation of the credit approval process, and project origination data. Director Durham provided the committee with the industry sector breakdown under the HQJP, and noted that manufacturing constitutes over 67 percent of the projects. Director Durham's presentation materials also included data relating to the number of projects awarded by community size, information on the overall tax credit cap, the types of assistance provided among all projects, and the amount of potential projects in the near future. Director Durham described the



program's budgeting process and the consideration given to the amount of tax credits awarded versus the amount actually claimed, and provided examples of three large projects where only 18 percent of credits have been claimed.

Dr. Jin described the HQJP's major components, which consist of investment tax credits, sales and use tax refunds, the supplemental research activities tax credits, corporation income tax credits for third-party sales tax, property tax exemptions, and grants. He provided data on tax incentives for tax years 2011-2021 by total claims, awards and claims by tax incentive component, awards by industry, and employment impact. Dr. Jin's materials included data for that time period that included \$496.6 million of awards among the 1,062 awards made, a total pledged business investment of \$67.6 billion, 34,742 total projected jobs created, 17,279 total projected jobs retained, and an average annual wage for such jobs of \$41,905. According to Dr. Jin, approximately \$496.6 million in HQJP tax incentives had been awarded between FY 2011 and FY 2021, and approximately \$274.8 million had been claimed. Dr. Jin concluded the HQJP has a positive and statistically significant impact on industry employment and a positive and statistically insignificant impact on employment in counties where awards have occurred.

In response to committee member questioning, Director Durham disagreed that the program is not making a difference. She identified an insufficient workforce as the reason why certain recent planned projects had not proceeded. Director Durham also engaged in a discussion with committee members about what needs to occur for workers to reengage in the employment market and identified the Economic Development Authority's effort to continue monitoring the issue with lowa Workforce Development.

V. Beginning Farmer Tax Credit

Ms. Estelle Montgomery, Fiscal and Policy Analyst, DOR, provided background information on the beginning farmer tax credit, including the reasons for establishment of the program relating to established farmers and beginning farmers. The program is capped at \$12 million per year. The tax credit is available to an owner of an agricultural asset that is subject to lease or rental by a beginning farmer, and the amount of tax credits that may be awarded to an eligible taxpayer shall not exceed \$50,000 per year per agreement. An asset owner may have multiple agreements, and the credit is nonrefundable and nontransferable except upon death. The credit is allowed if the asset owner and beginning farmer are related. Ms. Montgomery's materials detailed some characteristics of projects under the program and how the type of project impacts the tax credit rate for asset owners. Ms. Montgomery also provided historical data on the program, including demographic data, tax credit award amounts, tax credit claim amounts, and tax credit carryforwards. Since 2007, there have been \$40.8 million in claims that incorporate 3,851 agreements and 1,004 beginning farmers. Of those beginning farmers under the program, 82 percent are 35 years of age or younger at the time of entry into the program. Ms. Montgomery's presentation specified that program participants became more established in farming between 2009 and 2019, had a statistically significant increase in average farm income net profits over 10 years, and a higher retention rate than members of the comparison group. Ms. Montgomery engaged in discussion with committee members regarding barriers to involvement by beginning farmers, the differences in program definitions among states and the United States Department of Agriculture, and what other incentives could be included in the program going forward.

VI. Charitable Conservation Contribution Tax Credit

Mr. John Good, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, DOR, presented a report on the tax credit for charitable conservation contributions (CCC) available against the individual and corporate income tax for certain qualifying contributions to conservation organizations in the form of conservation donations and easements, bargain sales of land, or easement bargain sales. The credit is equal to 50 percent of the fair market value of the qualifying donated property, not to exceed \$100,000 per taxpayer. The tax credit is nonrefundable and nontransferable, but may be carried forward for up to 20 years. Mr. Good stated the counties with the most CCC land tend to be in northeast and north central areas of the state, or near the Neal Smith Wildlife Refuge. Mr. Good noted the tax credit claimants tend to be older, higher-income individuals, and residents of lowa. Mr. Good's presentation also included information on the federal conservation easement deduction and historical information on the amounts of tax credits earned, the household income of credit earners, the residency of claimants, and the timing of tax credit claims. Mr. Good engaged in discussions with committee members regarding similar programs in other states and the limitations of data within the program.

VII. New Jobs Tax Credit

Dr. Jin presented background information and statistical analysis of the new jobs tax credit. The new jobs tax credit was originally enacted in 1985 and is available against the individual or corporate income tax for taxpayers who enter into an Industrial New Jobs Training Program with an Iowa community college and who create jobs with a certain base employment level. Dr. Jin noted that 50 percent of the new jobs tax credit claims were associated with three community colleges: Kirkwood Community College, Des Moines Area Community College, and Indian Hills Community College. Dr. Jin detailed the credit eligibility and the calculation of the credit and provided historical data on claims for the credit. The utilization of the credit has been trending downward and only 8 percent of the companies eligible to claim the credit actually made a claim. Dr. Jin engaged in discussion with committee members regarding the possible reasons for the low rate of usage and what additional data could be examined.

VIII. Administrative Tax Credits

Ms. Karen Tigges, Fiscal and Policy Analyst, DOR, presented an overview of the following administrative tax credits:

A. Fuel Tax Credit

The tax credit is based on the amount of lowa fuel tax paid for off-road use and is refundable and nontransferable. From 2015-2019, total claims averaged \$3.9 million per year. Approximately 19,000 claims are made each year, averaging \$200 per claimant. Eighty-eight percent of the claims submitted were related to agriculture.

B. Assistive Device Tax Credit

The credit is available against the corporate income tax. The credit is not available against the individual income tax. The credit equals 50 percent of the first \$5,000 expended by a corporation for obtaining assistive device technology to aid an employee with a disability. This tax credit has never been claimed. Ms. Tigges engaged in discussion with committee members regarding similar credits in other states and whether modifications to the credit would increase its usage.



C. Claim of Right Tax Credit

The credit is available to individual taxpayers who are required to repay income in the current tax year that was reported and taxed on a prior tax year. The credit is equal to the amount of tax paid on the repaid income and is refundable and nontransferable. Alternatively, a taxpayer may deduct the repaid income from lowa net income. Ms. Tigges provided background on the credit including the number of claims, amount claimed, and average claim.

D. S Corporation Apportionment Tax Credit

The credit is available to individual taxpayers who are shareholders of an S corporation that conducts business in lowa and other states. In lieu of including all the S corporation income in net income and then claiming the out-of-state tax credit for taxes paid on that income to other states, S corporation shareholders may apportion the relevant income in the same manner as C corporations do under the corporate income tax. Ms. Tigges provided data by year on the number of tax credit claims made and the total amount of tax credits available and claimed.

E. Alternative Minimum Tax Credit

The credit is available against the individual, corporate, and franchise taxes, and is equal to the amount of extra lowa alternative minimum tax (AMT) paid by the taxpayer in previous years. In order to claim the credit, the taxpayer must not owe AMT in that same tax year. The credit is nonrefundable and may be carried forward indefinitely. Ms. Tigges gave a brief background of the lowa AMT and the federal AMT credit. She analyzed the average amounts of AMT paid and the number of AMT credits claimed each year. She noted the lowa AMT is repealed for tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2023.

IX. Property Tax Credits

Ms. Montgomery presented an overview of the following property tax credits:

A. Homestead Property Tax Credit

This credit was enacted in 1937 and is available to lowa residents who own a home in lowa and occupy that property for at least six months of the year. Special occupancy rules apply for active duty military personnel and persons confined to nursing homes or other similar facilities. Only one homestead is allowed per taxpayer. The credit, when fully funded by the state, equals the amount of property taxes owed on the first \$4,850 of taxable value of the homestead. In fiscal year 2021, the credit was fully funded at a cost of \$127.4 million.

B. Disabled Veteran Homestead Property Tax Credit

This credit was enacted in 1990 as a component of the homestead property tax credit that only applies to a military veteran, including certain family members of a military veteran, if the veteran meets certain disability rating requirements or received their homestead under certain federal provisions relating to adaptive housing for disabled veterans. The tax credit applies to a one-half acre or less of urban land or 40 acres or less of rural land, and equals 100 percent of the property tax on the homestead. 2015 amendments to the credit expanded eligibility to individuals outside specified federal programs. In fiscal year 2021, there were 5,250 claimants of the credit and it was fully funded at \$15.3 million.

C. Elderly and Disabled Property Tax Credit

This credit was enacted in 1983 and is available to homeowners and renters who are at least 65 years of age, or totally disabled, and who have household income below a certain threshold amount depending upon the number of persons in the household. The household income is indexed to inflation and the

tax credit decreases as the taxpayer's household income increases. In 2021, the property tax credit was funded at \$4.3 million, and the rent reimbursement credit was funded involving 19,035 claimants totaling \$13.4 million in credits. Ms. Montgomery identified recent fraud prevention efforts as the reason for a recent reduction in the number of claimants. Ms. Montgomery also engaged in discussion with committee members about promoting awareness of the rent reimbursement portion of the program.

D. Military Service Property Tax Exemption

The credit was enacted in 1987 and provides property tax relief to qualified veterans through a property tax exemption that exempts the first \$1,842 of taxable value of property owned by a veteran. Exemption extends to surviving spouses. The population claiming this credit is declining due to demographics of the state. In 2021, the credit was fully funded at \$1.7 million.

E. Agricultural Land Property Tax Credits

The agricultural land property tax credit was enacted in 1939 and the family farm tax credit was enacted in 1990; both apply to land used for agricultural or horticultural purposes in tracts of 10 acres or more. In 2021, the credit was funded at 20 percent, which equals \$143.9 million. The family farm property tax credit has the same purpose as the agricultural land tax credit but only extends to owners who actively farm the land. In 2021, the credit was funded at 14 percent, which is \$70.5 million. Ms. Montgomery discussed the ability of nonresidents to qualify for the agricultural land credit.

F. Business Property Tax Credits

The business property tax credit was enacted in 2013. The credit is available for commercial, industrial, or railroad property. In order to qualify for the credit, the property must be one or more contiguous parcels in the same county, be the same property class, and have the same ownership. The credit continues until ownership changes. The credit funding is capped by law at \$125 million.

G. Commercial and Industrial Property Replacement Claims

The commercial and industrial property replacement claims were enacted in 2013. The credit is based upon the statutory reduction in the assessed valuation of all commercial and industrial property. In fiscal year 2021, the credit funding was \$152.1 million. Also, in 2021, the methodology for calculating and apportioning commercial and industrial property tax replacement claims for fiscal years beginning on or after July 1, 2029, was changed, and the appropriation was eliminated for fiscal years beginning on or after July 1, 2029.

X. Franchise Tax Credit

Ms. Tigges stated the credit is nonrefundable and available to shareholders of financial institutions organized as S corporations. It is provided to avoid double taxation because S corporation financial institutions are themselves subject to the franchise tax and the income then passes through to the shareholders of the S corporation who are also subject to tax. The tax credit generally equals the shareholder's pro rata share of the lowa franchise tax paid by the financial institution. Ms. Tigges provided examples of the taxation of financial institutions in other states including Illinois, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, South Dakota, and Wisconsin, and profiled franchise taxpayers based upon adjusted gross income from 2013-2020. Ms. Tigges provided historical data from 2013-2021 on net franchise tax revenue, the amount of franchise tax credits claimed, and the percent of revenues claimed as credits. Ms. Tigges also provided data on the amount of credits that expire each tax year and data on the credits claimed by resident status. For fiscal year 2020, the net franchise tax revenues equaled \$66.5 million, and franchise tax credit claims equaled 40.5 percent of the franchise tax revenues.



XI. Earned Income Tax Credit

Mr. Good presented a report on the Iowa earned income tax credit (EITC), which is a refundable tax credit equal to 15 percent of the federal EITC. Mr. Good summarized the qualifications for the federal EITC, provided history of the Iowa EITC, and provided information on similar credits in other states. He also provided numerous historical data points for the Iowa EITC, including the number of claimants by tax year, the amounts paid as refunds, 2019 claims by filing status, the number of dependents impacted, and claimant's household adjusted gross income. Mr. Good's presentation materials also included graphical representations of the federal EITC amounts based on marriage status and number of children. Mr. Good provided reasons for taxpayers moving in and out of the EITC from tax years 2010-2019, and the poverty status of 2019 Iowa EITC claimants with and without EITC. He stated approximately \$750 million in EITC claims have been claimed since 1990. Mr. Good also provided data on the amounts of the credit that have exceeded individual tax liability. In 2019, 51.8 percent of claims were in excess of tax liability, totaling \$35.5 million. Mr. Good's presentation also provided a breakdown of EITC claims by number of household dependents, income levels, filing status, and the average claim amount based on filing status. Committee members discussed the longevity of the credit and the credit's affect on lessening poverty and reducing reliance on other social safety net programs.

XII. Research Activities Tax Credit

Mr. Cody Schmidt, Fiscal and Policy Analyst, DOR, presented a report on the Iowa research activities tax credit (RAC) and the lowa supplemental research activities tax credit (Supplemental RAC), which are refundable tax credits for incremental qualifying expenditures associated with research conducted in Iowa. The RAC is an automatic credit for those taxpayers who meet the requirements, while the supplemental RAC is awarded by EDA under the HQJP. Mr. Schmidt provided background information on RAC including its relationship to the federal RAC. He described the two calculation methods for the RAC: the regular method and the alternative simplified method. Mr. Schmidt's presentation materials included an overview of the research and activities credits offered in 35 other states. Mr. Schmidt noted that Midwestern states were among the first to adopt such credits and approximately 75 percent of the region has such a credit. He discussed the various general characteristics of RACs in other states including, calculation methodologies, caps and other limitations, and refundability. Mr. Schmidt then provided statistical data regarding historical amounts of research expenditures in lowa, the tax credits earned by tax year and by calculation method, and the amounts and percentages paid as refunds. In 2020, there were \$81.9 million in refunds. Mr. Schmidt also presented some analysis of the impact of such credits. His research questions included: (1) do incremental research activity credit programs stimulate research inputs and outputs? and (2) what specific program features are effective at stimulating research inputs and outputs? The data analysis provided by Mr. Schmidt included comparison of private research and development expenditures in RAC states versus non-RAC states, patent data in RAC states versus non-RAC states, private research and development expenditures in refundable RAC states versus nonrefundable RAC states, and patent data in refundable RAC states versus nonrefundable RAC states. Mr. Schmidt's analysis found a statistically significant relationship with existence of a RAC program and less research and development expenditures. Additionally, there was not a statistically significant association between existence of a RAC program and more patent activity. Mr. Schmidt acknowledged the limitations of his research, including the imperfect data available for analysis, binary data complications, the types of private industries and firms involved, and the unclear causal directions. Committee members discussed characteristics of Iowa's RAC, whether

adjustments should be considered, the need for additional data to evaluate the RAC, the evolution of companies claiming the credit, and prior research on the RAC.

XIII. Committee Discussion

The co-chairpersons thanked the presenters and expressed an intent to further consider the presentations made to the committee and reach out to presenters with questions for requests for more information. Committee members also discussed the process used by the committee to review tax credits and whether adjustment should be made or whether additional time during the legislative session is needed to adequately review the credits.

XIV. Materials Filed with the Legislative Services Agency

The following materials were distributed at or in connection with the meeting and are filed with the Legislative Services Agency. The materials may be accessed from the link on the committee's website:

www.legis.iowa.gov/committees/meetings/documents?committee=594&ga=ALL

- 1. Administrative Tax Credits Department of Revenue
- 2. Beginning Farmer Tax Credit Department of Revenue
- 3. Charitable Conservation Tax Credit Department of Revenue
- 4. Earned Income Tax Credit Department of Revenue
- **5.** Franchise Tax Credit Department of Revenue
- **6.** High Quality Jobs Program Department of Revenue
- 7. New Jobs Tax Credit Department of Revenue
- 8. Property Tax Credits Department of Revenue
- **9.** Research Activities Credit Department of Revenue